THE ENDOCANNABINOID SYSTEM WITHIN THE DORSAL LATERAL GENICULATE NUCLEUS OF THE VERVET MONKEY
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INTRODUCTION

The physiological and psychological effects of phytoannabinoids, the active components of the cannabis plant, can be detected almost everywhere in the body due to their actions on specific receptors: mainly the cannabinoid receptors type 1 (CB1R) and type 2 (CB2R). Cannabinoid receptors are membrane receptors principally coupled to inhibitory G-proteins that modulate the release of neurotransmitters (Piomelli, 2003; Gómez-Ruiz et al., 2007). They mediate biological functions not only via the exogenous cannabinoids, but also via eCBs such as N-arachidonoylthanolamide (anandamide or AEA) and 2-arachidonylglycerol (2-AG). Unlike the classical neurotransmitters, eCBs are synthesized “on demand” by catalyzing the release of N-acylethanolamines (NAEs) from N-arachidonoylthanolamine (NAPE) by specific enzyme, like N-acylphosphatidylethanolamine phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD) or from arachidonic acid via diacylglycerol lipase enzyme (DAGL). The eCBs are not accumulated into synaptic vesicles and are rather degraded rapidly by specific enzymes like fatty acid amid hydrolase (FAAH) and monoglycerol lipase (MAGL) (for review, see Deutsch and Chin, 1993).

The localization and function of the molecular components of the eCB system in the central nervous system have been the subject of recent research. In fact, the role of the eCB system in learning, memory, neuroprotection and visual processing is essentially due to the modulation of neurotransmitter release by the presynaptic location of CB1R (Di Marzo et al., 1998; Straiker et al., 1999a). CB1R expression is found in the hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, cerebellum and basal ganglia of rodents (Herkenham et al., 1991) and primates (Eggan and Lewis, 2007). It is expressed in glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons throughout the central and peripheral nervous systems (Egertová and Elphick, 2000). In the visual system, CB1R and FAAH have been localized in cone photoreceptors, horizontal, amacrine, bipolar, and retinal ganglion cells in the central and peripheral retina of vervet monkeys (Bouskila et al., 2012). CB1R is also found in the human retina (Straiker et al., 1999b).
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Earlier studies reported that cannabis could affect several visual functions, such as photosensitivity (Adams et al., 1978), visual acuity (Moskowitz et al., 1972; Adams and Brown, 1975), color vision (Dawson et al., 1977), ocular tracking (Flom et al., 1976), binocular depth inversion, and stereoscopic vision (Emrich et al., 1991; Leweke et al., 1999; Semple et al., 2003). Some case studies later claimed other visual effects of cannabis such as visual distortions, altered perception of distance, illusions of movement in stationary and moving objects, color intensification of objects, dimensional distortion and blending of patterns and objects (Levi and Miller, 1990; Lerner et al., 2011). Given the localization of CB1R in the central retina, from cones to ganglion cells, it is reasonable to assume its implication in these visual manifestations.

In homogenates of rodent thalamus, high levels of AEA (Felder et al., 1996) and FAAH (Egertová et al., 2003), as well as an elevated cannabinoid receptor/G-protein amplification ratio (Breivogel et al., 1997) have been found. Also, using immunohistochemistry, moderate to low levels of CB1R expressions have been found in the thalamus of rats (Egertová et al., 1998; Tsou et al., 1998; Moldrich and Wenger, 2000), non-human primates (Ong and Mackie, 1999) and humans (Glass et al., 1997) without focusing on dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN). However, there is no study, to our knowledge, that has thoroughly studied the expression of the eCB system in this retino-recipient primary thalamic relay of the primate. Similar to apes and humans, the dLGN of vervet monkeys consists of six layers. The first two ventral layers, the magnocellular layers, receive input from large ganglion cells (rod signals) and are necessary for the perception of movement, depth and small difference in brightness. The four dorsal layers, parvocellular layers, receive input from small ganglion cells of the retina (cone signals) and play a role in color and form perception. These layers are well separated by an inter-laminar zone called koniocellular layers that contribute to short-wavelength “blue” cones (Xu et al., 2001). Given the expression and localization of CB1R in the retinal mosaic, we expect to find this receptor in the optic nerve and the dLGN layers.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals**

Monkey tissues were obtained from four adult vervet monkeys (*Chlorocebus sabaeus*). The monkeys were part of Dr. Ptito’s and Dr. Palmour’s research project that was approved by McGill University Animal Care and Use Committee. The animals were born and raised under an enriched natural environment in the laboratories of the Behavioral Sciences Foundation (St-Kitts, West Indies), a facility recognized by the Canadian Council on Animal Care (CCAC). The experimental protocol was reviewed and approved by the local Animal Care and Use Committee (University of Montreal) and the Institutional Review Board of the Behavioral Science Foundation.

**Tissue preparation**

Each animal was sedated with ketamine hydrochloride (10 mg/kg, i.m.) and euthanized by an overdose of intravenously administered sodium pentobarbital (25 mg/kg), followed by transcardial perfusion of 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4). The brains were then removed, blocked and flash-frozen in an isopentane bath cooled in a dry ice chamber and maintained at −80°C. The blocks were cut along the coronal plane in 20-μm sections at −18°C on a Leica CM3050S cryostat and mounted onto gelatinized subbed glass slides. The slide-mounted tissue sections were stored at −80°C until further histological processing.

**Immunohistochemistry (DAB)**

At least one slide-mounted 20-μm fresh-frozen tissue section per animal was selected from A6 to A9, at a level where the lamination of the dLGN is the clearest and thinned at room temperature. A hydrophobic barrier was created surrounding the slides, using PAP pen (Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA) to keep staining reagents localized on the tissue section. Sections were fixed with 70% ethanol solution for 15 min, followed by two 5-min rinses with 0.1 M Tris buffer, pH 7.4/0.03% Triton X-100. To block the endogenous peroxidase activity, sections were washed with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in PBS for 15 min. Following three times 5-min PBS-triton rinse, sections were blocked for 60 min with a solution of 10% normal donkey serum (NDS) and 0.1 M Tris buffer/0.5% Triton. Each section was incubated overnight at room temperature with primary antibodies (Table 1) diluted in the blocking solution. The next day, sections underwent three 10-min PBS-triton washes, followed by incubation in a secondary antibody solution (biotinylated donkey anti-rabbit antibody diluted 1:200 in blocking solution) for 2 h. After three consecutive 10-min washes with PBS-triton, the sections were incubated for 1 h in an avidin–biotin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase (Vectastain ABC kit, Burlingame, CA, USA) solution (1:500). Following three subsequent 10-min washes in PBS-triton, the sections were treated with a 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate. After rinsing in PBS-triton three times for 5 min each, sections underwent dehydration in graded ethanol steps, cleared in xylene, and cover-slipped with Permount mounting media (Fisher Scientific; Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Sections were examined on a Leica DMRB under bright field illumination.

**Immunofluorescence**

Double and triple labeling of the brain tissues were performed according to previously published methods on the vervet monkey retina (Bouskila et al., 2012; Bouskila et al., 2013a,b). Briefly, sections were post-fixed for 15 min in 70% ethanol, rinsed two times for 5 min in 0.1 M Tris buffer, pH 7.4/0.03% Triton and blocked for 90 min in 10% NDS and 0.1 M Tris buffer/0.5% Triton. Sections were incubated overnight at room temperature...
with primary antibodies in blocking solution. The antibodies directed against molecular eCB components all raised in rabbit were used conjointly with a known cell marker: glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (astrocytes marker), vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (VGLUT1) (glutamergic cell marker) and GABA (GABAergic cell marker) (Table 1). The next day, sections were washed for 10-min and twice for 5 min in 0.1 M Tris/0.03% Triton and incubated with biotinylated donkey anti-rabbit diluted 1:200 in blocking solution for 2 h for the eCB targets to amplify the signals. Sections were then incubated for another 2 h with streptavidin 647 in order to amplify the signal and alexa 555 or 488 secondary antibodies when necessary. Sections were cover-slipped with Fluoro-mount-G/C228 Mounting Medium (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL, USA) after 3/10 min washes with 0.1 M Tris buffer. In the case of the GABA antibody, the sections had to be fixed in PFA 4% and glutaraldehyde 1%. The GABA signals were amplified with biotinylated donkey anti-mouse and the cannabinoid signals with donkey anti rabbit HRP and alexa fluor tyramide (Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon).

**Antibody characterization**

The source and working dilution of all primary antibodies used in this study are summarized in Table 1. The antibodies with the exception of NAPE-PLD were successfully used in previous studies and were well characterized in the vervet monkey (Bouskila et al., 2012).

**CB1R.** The rabbit anti-CB1R (Calbiochem, Gibbstown, NJ, USA) was developed using a highly purified fusion protein with the first 77 amino acid residues of rat CB1R. According to the manufacturer’s data sheet, it recognizes a major band of 60 kDa with also less intense bands of 23, 72, and 180 kDa. This antibody was shown to be specific using CB1R knockout mouse retinal tissue (Zabouri et al., 2011). It recognizes the CB1R (60 kDa) from many species, including the vervet monkey tissues (Bouskila et al., 2012).

**GFAP.** The mouse anti-glial fibrillar acidic protein (GFAP, Clone G-A-5, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was produced using purified GFAP isolated from the pig spinal cord. This antibody recognizes the GFAP protein of 50 kDa in Western blots (manufacturer’s data sheet). GFAP stains cells with the morphology and distribution expected for astrocytes in monkey dLGN (Takahata et al., 2010).

**FAAH.** The rabbit anti-fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was developed using a synthetic peptide from rat FAAH amino acids 561–579 (CLRFMREVEQLMTPQKQPS) conjugated to KLH. As expected, it recognizes a dense band at about 66 kDa and a very light one below 37 kDa. The specificity of this antibody has been demonstrated in rat (Suarez et al., 2008; Zabouri et al., 2011) and vervet monkey (Bouskila et al., 2012) tissues.

**NAPE-PLD.** The rabbit anti-N-acyl phosphatidylethanolamine-specific phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was developed using a synthetic peptide from human NAPE-PLD amino acids 159–172 (YMGPKRFFRSPTCTI). Its cross reactivity has been tested in many species, and it recognizes an intense band at 46 kDa on Western blot of the human cerebellum (manufacturer’s data sheet).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Immunogen</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Working dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB1R</td>
<td>Fusion protein containing aa 1–77 of rat CB1R</td>
<td>Sigma, St. Louis, MO C1233, Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>H: 1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAAH</td>
<td>Synthetic peptide aa 561–579 of rat FAAH</td>
<td>Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, 101600, rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>H: 1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAPE-PLD</td>
<td>Synthetic peptide aa 159–172 of human NAPE-PLD</td>
<td>Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, 10305, rabbit, polyclonal</td>
<td>H: 1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>Purified protein isolated from pig spinal cord</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, G3893, mouse, G-A-5, monoclonal</td>
<td>H: 1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGLUT1</td>
<td>Fusion protein containing aa 456–560 of rat VGLUT1</td>
<td>Synaptic System, Goettingen, Germany, 135 311, mouse, 317D5 monoclonal</td>
<td>H: 1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>Fusion Protein coupling to BSA with glutaraldehyde</td>
<td>Millipore, MA, MAB316, Monoclonal</td>
<td>H: 1:200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAPE-PLD blocking peptide.** The NAPE-PLD blocking peptide containing the human NAPE-PLD amino acid sequence 159–172 (YMGPKRFFRSPTCTI; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was used in the present study for Western blot analysis. The specificity of the NAPE-PLD antibody was tested by pre-incubation with the corresponding blocking peptide. For pre-adsorption, the primary antibody was diluted in PBS and incubated with a ratio 1:5 for 2 h at room temperature, with occasional inversion. Thereafter, the antibody-blocking peptide solution was added to the blot and subsequent Western blotting followed the protocol as described further.
VGLUT1. The mouse anti-vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (VGLUT1, Synaptic System, Goettingen, Germany) was raised against the aa 456–560 of the rat VGLUT1 protein. It is used as a glutamatergic neuron marker and its specificity has been verified in vglut1 KO mouse tissue (Wojcik et al., 2004) as well as using the corresponding blocking peptide (Zhou et al., 2007).

GABA. The mouse anti-gamma aminobutyric acid antibody (GABA, Millipore, MA, USA) was synthesized coupling to BSA with glutaraldehyde. It is used as a marker of GABAergic neurons. Thus, for the GABA antibody, sections were fixed for 20 min in 1% glutaraldehyde, 4% PFA in PBS. The antibody has been frequently used in different animals, and its specificity has been verified in monkey (Jongen-Reio et al., 1999).

Fig. 1. Spatial distribution of CB1R, FAAH, and NAPE-PLD throughout the dLGN (a–c) of vervet monkeys. The RGB heat map was used to enhance the display of the abundance of the CB1R (d), FAAH (e) and NAPE-PLD (f) in the dLGN. The gray spectrum was distributed within the RGB spectral subdivision in which the maximum threshold gray values were expressed as red and the minimum as blue. CB1R is more expressed within the first two layers of dLGN. FAAH expression pattern is similar to CB1R. The NAPE-PLD expression is similarly distributed throughout the magno and parvo cellular layers. Scale bars = 500 µm. (g) Quantification of the mean contrast intensity of CB1R, FAAH and NAPE-PLD-IR in magno-, parvo- and koniocellular layer. The bars indicate the standard error. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
**Microscopy**

To detect the fluorescence signals, a Leica TCS SP2 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, Exton, PA, USA) with 40× (n.a. 1.25–0.75) and 100× (n.a. 1.40–0.7) objectives was used. Subsequently, images were acquired from the green and far-red channels on optical slices of less than 0.9 μm. Adobe Photoshop (CS5; Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) was used for all photomicrographic adjustments on size, color and shape before exporting them to Adobe InDesign (CS5; Adobe Systems), where the final figure layout was completed. For DAB staining, all photomicrographs were captured with a Leica DMR photomicroscope equipped with a Retiga 1300 video camera system (Q Imaging) using the QCapture software with a Leica 2.5× (n.a. 0.07) objective.

**Quantification**

In order to enhance the visualization of CB1R spatial distribution throughout the dLGN layers, photomicrographs demonstrating the entire structure were generated in an 8-bit gray scale. Using a MatLab code, the minimum and maximum thresholds of the gray values in the image were measured. The gray spectrum values were then eventually distributed within the RGB spectral subdivision in which the maximum threshold gray values were presented in red and the minimum in blue. Moreover, the average contrast intensity of each layer was calculated using the FIJI program (v. 1.48t, Wayn Rasband, NIH, USA). The program was calibrated using a calibration grid slide. The RGB images were inverted to 8-bit with a linear gray scaling from a minimum to a maximum pixel intensity (arbitrary value 0–255). The

---

**Fig. 2.** Triple-label immunofluorescence of the CB1R (magenta) and GFAP (green) expression with nucleic acid stain Sytox (blue), respectively in magnocellular (a–c), parvocellular (d–f) and koniocellular layers (g–i). The absence of GFAP with FAAH (j–l) and NAPE-PLD (m–o) co-localizations in the magnocellular layers of the monkey dLGN are shown. Each immunostaining is presented alone in gray scale in the first two columns (1st column: GFAP and 2nd column: CB) following their merged image in the third column. Arrows point at GFAP cell bodies with no expression of CB. Scale bars = 75 μm. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
mean intensity of four sections (per condition) in their whole magno-, parvo- and koniocellular layers (±SEM) was reported after subtracting the average background intensity.

RESULTS

Spatial expression of the endocannabinoid system in dLGN

To examine the laminar expression of the endocannabinoid system profile in dLGN, tissues were labeled with specific antibodies against CB1R, FAAH, and NAPE-PLD. Their expression pattern in the brain was quantified using heatmap analysis. Immunolabeled sections for CB1R were found throughout the magnocellular and parvocellular layers of the dLGN with a higher intensity in the magnocellular layers (Fig. 1a, d, g). The koniocellular layers had the lowest expression of CB1R (Fig. 1a, d, g). Similar patterns of expression were observed for FAAH (Fig. 1b, e, g). NAPE-PLD was expressed homogenously with no preferences for the magnocellular or parvocellular layers. The koniocellular layers still showed very low expression of the synthesizing enzyme (Fig. 1c, f, g). A consistent staining pattern across all four monkeys dLGN was found. Interestingly, all these three proteins were also highly expressed in the optic nerve (data not shown).

CB1R, FAAH and NAPE-PLD are not present in LGN astrocytes

The double immunostaining was carried out with molecular markers to examine the eCB system expression at the cellular level. Cytosolic spread expression of CB1R is not co-localized with GFAP-positive glial cells (Fig. 2a–f). There is an apparent higher expression of CB1R in the magnocellular layers.

Fig. 3. Immunofluorescence labeling illustrating the co-localization of CB1R (a–f), FAAH (g–l) and NAPE-PLD (m–r) with GABAergic (three left columns) and glutamatergic (three right columns) neurons in the magnocellular layer 1 of the dLGN. Each immunostaining is presented alone in gray scale in the first two columns following their merged image in the third column. Arrows point to the co-localization of eCB components with GABA and VGLUT1. Scale bar = 75 µm.
FAAH and NAPE-PLD in both GABAergic (Fig. 3 g–i and more, we report the same pattern of expression for gic cells in the magnocellular layers (Fig. 3 d–f). Further-

CB1R within the GABAergic (Fig. 3 a–c) and glutamater-

Elphick, 2000). Our results validate the expression of midget cells to the magnocellular layers and the cones and transfer the visual information via parasol and magnocellular (Fig. 2 m–o) and parvocellular (data not shown) dLGN neurons.

CB1R, FAAH and NAPE-PLD are expressed in glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons

As previously reported in the rat brain, CB1R is expressed in both GABAergic and glutamatergic cells (Egertova and Elphick, 2000). Our results validate the expression of CB1R within the GABAergic (Fig. 3a–c) and glutamater-
gic cells in the magnocellular layers (Fig. 3d–f). Further-

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the spatial distribution of CB1R and the enzymes regulating the levels of its ligands, namely FAAH and NAPE-PLD in the dLGN of primates. Given that CB1R, FAAH and NAPE-PLD are expressed in an overlapping pattern in the dLGN (Fig. 1), it might play a role in the auto-

CB1R: from the retina to the dLGN

There are studies that assessed CB1R expression in the whole brain and reported its expression in the thalamus. The dorsal thalamus was found to slightly express CB1Rs in rats (Tsou et al., 1998), not at all (Eggan and Lewis, 2007) or moderately (Ong and Mackie, 1999) in primates and humans (Glass et al., 1997), without any focus on the dLGN. To date, we have found only one study looking specifically at the rodent dLGN and reporting CB1R expression (Argaw et al., 2011). These results are however difficult to generalize to the monkey and human dLGN given the major anatomical differences in its organization as well as its cortical projections. More-

NAPE-PLD: a dissimilar pattern of expression

NAPE-PLD is widely expressed in the mouse thalamus (Egertová et al., 2008). Furthermore, the presence of NAPE-PLD in the rat brain has been reported, with an increased expression in the thalamus (Morishita et al., 2005). Unlike CB1R and FAAH, the synthesizing enzyme, NAPE-PLD, is expressed homogenously throughout the magnocellular and parvocellular layers of the dLGN without any laminar preference (Fig. 1c, f, g). Besides synthe-
sizing the endocannabinoid AEA, NAPE-PLD also gener-

N neurons (Fig. 3 j–l).

In contrast to the difference in the pattern of expression of NAPE-PLD, the cellular expression of this synthesizing enzyme is similar to that of CB1R and FAAH. NAPE-PLD is also absent in astrocytes; however, it is abundant in the cytosol of the both magnocellular (Fig. 2m–o) and parvocellular (data not shown) dLGN neurons.

The very weak expression of this receptor in the koniocellular layers is also evident (Fig. 1g, h). Similarly, FAAH-IR is not detected in astrocytes of magnocellular (Fig. 2a–c) compared to the parvocellular layers (Fig. 2d–f).

Interestingly, the degrading enzyme FAAH can metabolize NAES into cellular membrane components (Cravatt et al., 2004).

The very weak expression of this receptor in the koniocellular layers is also evident (Fig. 1g, h). Similarly, FAAH-IR is not detected in astrocytes of magnocellular (Fig. 2a–c) compared to the parvocellular layers (Fig. 2d–f). The very weak expression of this receptor in the koniocellular layers is also evident (Fig. 1g, h). Similarly, FAAH-IR is not detected in astrocytes of magnocellular (Fig. 2a–c) compared to the parvocellular layers (Fig. 2d–f).
CB1R, FAAH and NAPE-PLD are not present in LGN astrocytes

The presence of CB1R in astrocytes is controversial. While some studies have failed to show any expression of CB1R in astrocytes (reviewed in Stella, 2004), others have detected it in the caudate, putamen (Rodriguez et al., 2001) and hippocampal astrocytes (Navarrete and Araque, 2008). In the hippocampus, astrocyte activation of CB1R leads to phospholipase C-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization from the store and mediation of the eCBs communication with the neurons. This intermedialation might play a role in the physiology of CB addiction (Navarrete and Araque, 2008). Our results show no co-expression between CB1R, FAAH and NAPE-PLD with GFAP in the LGN.

Functional significance

The geniculo-cortical distribution of CB1R (Fig. 1) sheds light into the putative functions of the eCB system in vision. Indeed, cannabis at the retinal level could affect the upstream visual pathways namely the ventral and dorsal visual streams. The higher expression of CB1R and FAAH in the magnocellular layers could have a functional value for the dorsal visual system involved in motion processing and object location (“how/where” pathway) (Goodale and Milner, 1992; Kupers and Ptito, 2014). The abundance of the CB1R in the magnocellular layers of dLGN, areas MT and MST supports a role of eCB system in motion perception. In agreement with our findings, case studies on high-potency heavy cannabis smokers reported visual disturbances and impairment in motion perception (Levi and Miller, 1990). Likewise, cannabis causes impaired performance in tasks that require fine psychomotor control such as tracking a moving point of light on a screen (Adams et al., 1975, 1978). Magnocellular neurons are also more sensitive to low luminance contrasts (Tootell et al., 1988) and relatively unresponsive to chromatic contrasts (Crone and Kaplan, 1995). Higher expression of CB1R in the magnocellular pathway prompts a role of the endocannabinoid system in the night vision. In agreement with this speculation, numerous reports claim that smoking marijuana improves dim light vision and photosensitivity (Dawson et al., 1977; Merzouki and Mesa, 2002; Russo et al., 2004). Besides, the neurons in the magnocellular pathway are also sensitive to flickering stimuli (Lee et al., 1989) and lesions to the magnocellular layers of the dLGN can cause deficits in critical flicker fusion (Merigan and Maunsell, 1990) and flicker detection (Schiller et al., 1990).

Even though the expression of the CB1R and FAAH is more abundant in magnocellular layers, they are nonetheless present in the parvocellular layers of the dLGN. If the eCB system plays any role in color perception, it should be through chromatic properties of parvo cells and retinal cones. Both of these components of the visual system express CB1R. Conjointly, one of the most frequently reported effects of cannabis is more intense and brighter colors (Green et al., 2003; Lerner et al., 2011). Although the eCB system is considered as a good candidate for modulation of the dynamics of the cortical networks (Robbe et al., 2006), there are few electrophysiological studies on the role of cannabinoids in the visual system. Recently, it has been reported that the CB1R and CB2R full agonist, CP55940, decreases electroencephalogram and local field potential power in V1 and V2 in macaque monkeys (Ohihrenuan et al., 2014). To the best of our knowledge, there is only one study that has characterized the physiological effects of CB1R-mediated activity in the rat visual thalamus (Dasilva et al., 2012). Using single-unit extracellular recordings, the authors showed that, at the level of thalamus, CB1R activation revealed two cellular populations, one exhibiting excitatory effects (28%) and the other inhibitory ones (72%). These actions were blocked using AM251, a specific inverse agonist of CB1R. This suggests that CB1R in the rat thalamus acts as a dynamic modulator of visual information funneled to the cortex. The modulatory role of CB1R in the thalamus of monkeys and especially the dLGN could have a higher impact on visual processing due to its complex laminar structure and increased retinal inputs. Our results are in accordance with these electrophysiological findings and revealed that this neuromodulation of CB1R receptors may be due to their expression in the dLGN.

The expression pattern of these eCB components has been also well characterized in the primate retinal cells (Bouskila et al., 2012; Bouskila et al., 2013a,b) that project to the dLGN through the optic nerve. The importance of CB1R has been highlighted in a recent electrotetroretinographic study (ERG) that showed the implication of this cannabinoid receptor in the generation of the ERG responses (Ptito et al., 2014). Indeed, blocking CB1R induces an increase in the b-wave component of the ERG. This increase is possibly reflecting the gating of calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) and potassium (K\(^{+}\)) ionic channels (Bouskila et al., 2013a). The change in neuronal membrane permeability to Ca\(^{2+}\) ions and activity of adenyl cyclase may affect neurotransmitter release and action (Di Marzo et al., 1998) not only at the retinal level, but also probably at the dLGN level.

The data presented here provide the first insight into the neuroanatomy of CB1R, FAAH, and NAPE-PLD expression in the primate dLGN and a new perspective of the neural function of this system. In other words, the presence of the endocannabinoid system in the dLGN and especially in the magnocellular pathway suggests a putative neuromodulatory action that affects the functions of the dorsal visual pathway involved in motion perception.
perception, object localization and action-oriented behaviors that depend on the perception of space.
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